The City Council of the City of Idaho Falls met in Regular Council Meeting, Thursday, May 14, 2020, in the Council Chambers in the City Annex Building located at 680 Park Avenue in Idaho Falls, Idaho at 7:30 p.m.

Call to Order:

There were present:
Mayor Rebecca L. Noah Casper
Councilmember Michelle Ziel-Dingman (by WebEx)
Councilmember John Radford (by WebEx)
Councilmember Thomas Hally (joined at 7:33 p.m. by WebEx)
Councilmember Jim Freeman (by WebEx)
Councilmember Jim Francis (by WebEx)
Councilmember Shelly Smede (by WebEx)

Also present: All available Department Directors Randy Fife, City Attorney Kathy Hampton, City Clerk

Pledge of Allegiance:

Mayor Casper requested Bud Cranor, Public Information Officer, to lead those present in the Pledge of Allegiance.

Public Comment:

Public Comment has been temporarily suspended due to coronavirus (COVID-19) concerns.

Coronavirus (COVID-19) Update:

Mayor Casper stated Governor Brad Little announced the State of Idaho is ready to move into Phase 2 at noon on May 16. Salons, gyms, fitness centers, and, restaurants can open for service if they have a plan. It was noted that bars will be allowed to open in Phase 3 instead of Phase 4. Mayor Casper stated the City is phasing into full service with social distancing requirements in City buildings. She encouraged the public to observe social distancing and comply with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) guidelines including wearing of masks. She noted the medical grade masks have been reserved for medical professionals and first responders.

Consent Agenda:

Public Works requested approval of Bid Award – Water Line Replacements – 2020 Phase I.

Idaho Falls Power requested approval of Westside Substation Design Task Order and minutes from the April 23, 2020 Idaho Falls Power Board Meeting.

Municipal Services requested approval of Bid IF-20-18, Purchase of Patch Truck for Public Works; Quote 20-023, Purchase of Inventory for Idaho Falls Power; Quote 20-026, Purchase of Gravel for Public Works; Additional Purchase from RFP IF-20-069, Cobra Head Streetlights for Idaho Falls Power; Purchase Meter Inventory for Idaho Falls Power; Treasurer's Report for March 2020; minutes from the April 20, 2020 Council Work Session and April 23, 2020 Council Meeting; and, license applications, all carrying the required approvals

It was moved by Councilmember Smede, seconded by Councilmember Dingman, to approve, accept, or receive all items on the Consent Agenda according to the recommendations presented. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Francis, Dingman, Freeman, Hally, Radford, Smede. Nay – none. Motion carried.

Regular Agenda:

Community Development Services

Subject: Resolution Approving the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program Year (PY) 2019 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER)

For consideration is a resolution approving the CDBG Program Year 2019 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report (CAPER). The report was presented at a public hearing on April 23, 2020 followed by a 15-day public comment period. No comments were received and resolution is now ready for approval. Following approval the report will be submitted to HUD for approval.

It was moved by Councilmember Radford, seconded by Councilmember Francis, to approve the Resolution approving the CDBG Program Year 2019 Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Report and give authorization for the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the necessary documents. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Smede, Hally, Dingman, Radford, Freeman, Francis. Nay – none. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION NO. 2020-10

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO, ADOPTING THE FY2019 CDBG CONSOLIDATED ANNUAL PERFORMANCE AND EVALUATION REPORT (CAPER) FOR THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT.

Subject: Final Plat, Development Agreement, and Reasoned Statement of Relevant Criteria and Standards, Ivywood Division No. 3

For consideration is the Final Plat, Development Agreement, and Reasoned Statement Relevant Criteria and Standards for Ivywood Division No. 3. The Planning and Zoning Commission considered this item at its April 21, 2020, meeting and recommended approval by unanimous vote. Staff concurs with this recommendation.

It was moved by Councilmember Radford, seconded by Councilmember Francis, to approve the Development Agreement for Ivywood Subdivision, Division No. 3, and give authorization for the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the necessary documents. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Hally, Francis, Radford, Dingman, Smede, Freeman. Nay – none. Motion carried.

It was moved by Councilmember Radford, seconded by Councilmember Francis, to accept the Final Plat for Ivywood Subdivision, Division No. 3, and give authorization for the Mayor, City Engineer, and City Clerk to sign said Final Plat. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Freeman, Radford, Smede, Francis, Dingman, Hally. Nay – none. Motion carried.

It was moved by Councilmember Radford, seconded by Councilmember Francis, to approve the Reasoned Statement of Relevant Criteria and Standards for the Final Plat for Ivywood Subdivision, Division No. 3, and give authorization for the Mayor to execute the necessary documents. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Hally, Radford, Francis, Dingman, Smede, Freeman. Nay – none. Motion carried.

Subject: Final Plat, Development Agreement, and Reasoned Statement of Relevant Criteria and Standards, Manchester Estates Subdivision

For consideration is the Final Plat, Development Agreement, and Reasoned Statement of Relevant Criteria and Standards for Manchester Estates Subdivision. The Planning and Zoning Commission considered this item at its April 21, 2020, meeting and recommended approval by unanimous vote. Staff concurs with this recommendation.

It was moved by Councilmember Radford, seconded by Councilmember Francis, to approve the Development Agreement for Manchester Estates Subdivision, and give authorization for the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the necessary documents. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Francis, Dingman, Freeman, Hally, Radford, Smede. Nay – none. Motion carried.

It was moved by Councilmember Radford, seconded by Councilmember Francis, to accept the Final Plat for Manchester Estates Subdivision, and give authorization for the Mayor, City Engineer, and City Clerk to sign said Final Plat. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Freeman, Francis, Hally, Radford, Smede, Dingman. Nay – none. Motion carried.

It was moved by Councilmember Radford, seconded by Councilmember Francis, to approve the Reasoned Statement of Relevant Criteria and Standards for the Final Plat for Manchester Estates Subdivision, and give authorization for the Mayor to execute the necessary documents. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Dingman, Radford, Francis, Smede, Hally, Freeman. Nay – none. Motion carried.

Municipal Services

Subject: IF-20-22, Underground Fiber Project Phase I - Boring and Conduit Installation

This contract will provide underground fiber boring and conduit installation services for residential fiber.

Councilmember Smede stated this project will also replace aging and end-of-life electrical lines; will greatly improve the electrical reliability; and, will bring in fiber service at the same time. Councilmember Radford stated fiber and electric are intertwined and those investments for conduit and future technology need protected.

It was moved by Councilmember Smede, seconded by Councilmember Radford, to accept and approve the bid from the lowest responsive and responsible bidder, Quanta Telecom Services of Houston, Texas, for a total contract amount of \$2,671,322.55. Roll call as follows: Aye — Councilmembers Radford, Freeman, Smede, Francis, Dingman, Hally. Nay — none. Motion carried.

Subject: Hydro Generation Insurance Policy Renewal

This policy insures the City's hydropower generation facilities. The policy, #EUTN14341316, was the best commercially available policy presented to the City's insurance broker, Marsh Wortham.

Councilmember Smede noted this item does not include authorization for signature, this is a ratification only. Idaho Falls Power (IFP) Director Bear Prairie stated there is an insurance policy for liability for the generation facilities which is specifically focused on catastrophic damages. With the recent fires in California, various hurricanes, and, the recent COVID-19 crisis there is limited interest to expand the risk which has resulted in tough market conditions and steep premium increases. Director Prairie stated the standard plan carried a \$30M cap with an additional riders to \$100M. IFP was not able to solicit quotes from 25 different carriers for the additional rider insurance therefore, IFP was forced to look at other insurance with reduced coverage amounts. The current year coverage amounts to \$391,000, which is under the budgeted amount. Director Prairie stated a massive event would trigger the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) although FEMA requires a commercially-available insurance. He also stated IFP is not taking on more liability due to FEMA. He indicated this is/may be likely for the next future years. To the response of Councilmember Francis, Director Prairie confirmed this policy will include three (3) companies as the liability is too much risk for a single company.

It was moved by Councilmember Smede, seconded by Councilmember Dingman, to ratify the 2020/21 Hydropower Generation Insurance Policy for Idaho Falls Power for a total of \$391,915.00. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Hally, Smede, Dingman, Freeman, Francis, Radford. Nay – none. Motion carried.

Public Works

Subject: Memorandum of Understanding with Falls Water Company

Public Works presented at the November 25th, 2019 Work Session regarding a proposed water line purchase agreement and Memorandum of Understanding with the Falls Water Company. These items were discussed as potentials to address immediate water service needs for the Costco development and to address future water service northeast of City. The Falls Water Company has sought and gained approval of the proposed water service areas from the Idaho Public Utility Commission. Therefore, for consideration is a Memorandum of Understanding which delineates future water service boundaries for Falls Water Company and the City of Idaho Falls. The proposed boundaries will allow both entities to implement better planning to serve future water users in the area northeast of the City.

It was moved by Councilmember Freeman, seconded by Councilmember Radford, to approve the Memorandum of Understanding with Falls Water Company and give authorization for the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the necessary documents. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Smede, Hally, Radford, Dingman, Freeman, Francis. Nay – none. Motion carried.

Subject: Ordinance Revision Amending Section 5-9-8 Regulating Structures Over the Snake River

It is proposed that City Code Section 5-9-8, prohibiting people from jumping off or dropping objects from the listed City facilities over the Snake River, be made more comprehensive, more clear, and to include canals. The Ordinance, if adopted, will automatically apply to any City facility added by annexation or construction.

Councilmember Freeman stated canal structures were not originally included in the previous ordinance. This ordinance is being revised per Mr. Fife's recommendation.

It was moved by Councilmember Freeman, seconded by Councilmember Radford, to approve the Ordinance amending City Code regulations regarding City structures over the Snake River and canals within City limits under a suspension of the rules requiring three complete and separate readings and request that it be read by title and published by summary. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Dingman, Smede, Francis, Freeman, Hally, Radford. Nay – none. Motion carried.

At the request of Mayor Casper, the City Clerk read the ordinance by title only:

ORDINANCE NO. 3306

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO, AMENDING SECTION 5-9-8 OF THE CITY CODE TO PROHIBIT JUMPING, DIVING, OR DROPPING THINGS INTO THE SNAKE RIVER OR A CANAL WITHOUT APPROVAL; PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, PUBLICATION BY SUMMARY, AND ESTABLISHING EFFECTIVE DATE.

Subject: Approval of the State/Local Agreement with Idaho Transportation Department for the Lindsay Boulevard Curves Superelevation project

For consideration is a State/Local Construction Agreement and Resolution with the Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) for the Lindsay Boulevard Curves Superelevation project.

Councilmember Freeman stated total cost of the project is estimated at \$446,185.00 with City match of 7.34%, which amounts to \$34,218.00. The City contribution will be in the form of in-kind project development, construction management, and, inspection services.

It was moved by Councilmember Freeman, seconded by Councilmember Radford, to approve the State/Local Agreement, and the accompanying Resolution, and give authorization for the Mayor and City Clerk to execute the

necessary documents. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Francis, Dingman, Freeman, Hally, Radford, Smede. Nay – none. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION NO. 2020-11

WHEREAS, THE IDAHO TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT, HEREAFTER CALLED THE STATE, HAS SUBMITTED AN AGREEMENT STATING OBLIGATIONS OF THE STATE AND THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, HEREAFTER CALLED THE CITY, FOR CONSTRUCTION OF LINDSAY BOULEVARD CURVES SUPERELEVATION.

Office of the City Attorney

Subject: Nondiscrimination Ordinance

In 2013, the Council passed an Ordinance that prohibited discrimination in the City based upon a person's sexual orientation or gender identity/expression in the subject areas of housing and employment. The proposed Ordinance amendments will extend the nondiscrimination regulations to include places of public accommodation and use, as those are defined. Additionally, the proposed Ordinance amends some definitions and exemptions; provides clarification of the rights of employers and employees in areas of First Amendment expression and provision of employee-only facilities; adds specifically permitted activities; and includes non-binding mediation as a tool to resolve reported discrimination.

Mayor Casper stated on May 13 she distributed a message to the City Council containing language suggested by a community member to augment the religious freedoms aspect of the proposed amendment. As Mayor Casper does not play a direct role in passage of the vast majority of City Council actions, she believed it was not her place to propose new language, although the language could be introduced if desired by the Council. Mayor Casper presented a copy of said message to the City Clerk. Mayor Casper stated the volume of communication on this item has been very manageable until the last two (2) days. At that point, there was no way to keep up with all responses while continuing with other commitments. Mayor Casper estimated she has received approximately 200 messages, which is far more than any single item while in her 6½ years of service. She stated many of these messages did not express an opinion, rather they were directed at the process. She also stated the processes that are followed when conducting public discourse matter a lot and fairness, access, consistency, transparency, and, fidelity to the law are very important aspects of healthy policy-making. She indicated some individuals have suggested this issue is being addressed inappropriately due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Mayor Casper stated, in reference to public meetings, the Council is not permitted to take any action in secret. The rules governing public meetings are set in State statute and require advanced notice. The City of Idaho Falls follows these laws very carefully. The City Council indicated at the April 20 Council Work Session (24 days ago) that it was their desire to hear this item at this meeting. Mayor Casper stated, in reference to agenda setting, the Mayor calls Council Meetings and sets the agenda. The code does not say the Mayor must consult with the Council for agenda setting. Mayor Casper believes the current agenda setting suits the needs for the most part as she consults with directors, the Council president, and, members of the Council. She indicated she is particular with agenda items as she wants to ensure items have been well developed and ripe for consideration. She also stated the State statute states the majority of the Council, in this case four (4) Councilmembers, may overrule any decision made by the Mayor. Therefore, if she does not consider the will of the Council, she risks an override. She believes the goal is to proceed with consensus as she values consensus over contention. She indicated there is too much work to do and there are too few resources for a fight or a standoff with Council. This is not a good use of time, energy, or, resources. Because the Council wished to proceed with this matter at this time, Mayor Casper proceeded with their wishes. Mayor Casper stated, in reference to a hearing, many individuals suggested that not have a hearing was a terrible oversight. Hearings are required by law for certain items. Amendments being proposed for this ordinance do not require a hearing by law. Hearings can be helpful to gather public information, although as the Council discussed at the May 11 Council Work Session, they determined the dialogue and discussions through the public was more effective than a hearing which is one-way communication. Mayor Casper stated she respected the Council's wishes therefore, there is no hearing. She also stated the City has shared the draft minutes from the April 20 Council Work Session, the proposed ordinance was

located on the City's website, a press release was released, two (2) Councilmembers co-authored an Op Ed in The Post Register, and, there have been social media posts and a lot of dialogue. There has not been a shortage of information from the City to the public and no constitutional rights have been violated by the absence of a public hearing. If a hearing had occurred, just by the number of messages received, there would have been no way to facilitate the very lengthy meeting. Mayor Casper stated, in reference to no vote of the people, the founders gave us a democratic republic. There is a system where representatives are democratically elected who must serve in the public interest. Elected officials are to serve as trustees, they have a responsibility to study and vote, they serve at the pleasure of the people, and, they are to be held accountable. This issue is not being subjected to the vote of the people due to the structure of State law and City Code. Mayor Casper stated as a candidate for office in 2013, she attended the very divisive hearing, she watched sad stories being shared, she listened to unkind things, and, she cringed as incorrect information was presented as fact. She decided at that time that education had to be the key. She stated over the course of the previous seven (7) years she has been approached by numerous people who have requested this item be placed on an agenda. She also stated overseeing City operations requires a lot of work and there has not been time to educate the public. She was determined not to have this City be more divided than the nation. In 2018, while working with friends, the Connecting Us – Sustaining Progress (CUSP) committees were developed. The CUSP committees have been directed to address challenges within the community, that a Mayor cannot address, and seek ways to improve the community with emphasis on gathering data. Unfortunately, COVID-19 has delayed any reports from the CUSP committees that could provide opportunities for action. Those reports could have included information on a range of issues including the non-discrimination ordinance. Mayor Casper stated the Councilmembers, as duly elected officials, have responsibilities, rights, and, instincts for this community that need to be respected. She decided this was the time that she needed to listen to the Council. Although the factbased information may not be available, Mayor Casper believes the Councilmembers absolutely have done their homework. Councilmember Hally stated a lot of input has been received and he expressed his appreciation to those who have expressed their opinions. He also stated this Council appreciates data. Councilmember Hally stated in 2013 discrimination was starting to surface including issues in Wyoming and California. Not many cities in Idaho had an ordinance and had not experienced many problems. Councilmember Hally stated he visited with the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) and attended two (2) public meetings with testimony. There was then a full discussion of all three (3) legs with the City Council and input was given at that time with a well-attended meeting. Councilmember Hally stated he reviewed employment and housing with the Salt Lake City (SLC) ordinance for research. He then gave a report to the Council on these meetings and research and the Council agreed that all three (3) legs should be discussed. The public meeting was not well-controlled and danger and fear seemed to be the common denominators. At that time, the proposal was amended to include housing and employment only, much to Councilmember Hally's disappointment. It was determined that more data needed to be received. Since that time, numerous cities have accommodated all three (3) legs of the ordinance and a lot of data has been brought forward. Councilmember Hally stated, per several comments received, he does not understand how the ordinance could be used as a weapon. The proposed amendment deals with treatment. It does not deal with special privileges to anyone, it deals with privileges available to everyone. Councilmember Hally commended the Legal staff for their work on this proposed amendment. He stated the City has some major employers that recognize the importance of diversity in this ordinance. He also noted the surrounding area receives numerous tourists and it is in the City's best interest to treat everyone fairly. Councilmember Hally clarified this ordinance only applies to the City of Idaho Falls and does not address the school districts or the County. He stated he is a strong believer in fair treatment, which the ordinance stresses. He also stated he has also been approached for the previous seven (7) years regarding this amendment. He believes input has been received from several citizens. He is in support of this amendment. Councilmember Dingman expressed her appreciation to Councilmember Hally for his work on this ordinance and in 2013. She stated this amendment, initially proposed on April 20, was a constituent-led modification as it was not on her personal agenda - she was contacted by City residents following the passage of House Bill (HB) 509 in the State legislature. She stated in the previous seven (7) years 16 Idaho cities have passed similar ordinances. She could not find one (1) prosecuted case under these ordinances. She believes this indicates harmony in cities does exist and individuals know that accommodations provide the same services. She also believes this ordinance was crafted very carefully to prohibit frivolous lawsuits and allow for good-faith mediation as the heart of this is education. Councilmember Dingman believes a lot of conversation regarding this ordinance has been about the balance between prohibiting discrimination while protecting religious freedom. This is important as the same religious beliefs are held throughout the State. Councilmember Dingman stated this ordinance is somewhat

modeled after Utah with crafting from several religious institutions. She noted many faith-based organizations in the community were notified of the modifications in mid-April and all were in favor of this ordinance. She is hopeful that resonates with the community. Councilmember Dingman concurred with Mayor Casper's comments regarding a public hearing as public hearings are a one-way conversation without dialogue and does not provide Councilmembers to research. She also concurred with the comments regarding the 2013 public hearing. She believes the previous 24 days have been far more effective than a one-way conversation. She expressed her appreciation to those who expressed their comments. She indicated approximately one-third of the comments received are from outside the City and may be from special interest groups. She reiterated the dialogue allowed research. It was then moved by Councilmember Dingman, seconded by Councilmember Francis, to amend the proposed non-discrimination ordinance to modify the language in 5.11.6, Section B. This proposed amendment would eliminate possible jail time under the subsequent offenses clause and would allow for mediation as previously mentioned. Councilmember Hally concurred. Councilmember Radford believes this is a reasonable change. He also believes the process is fair although he expressed his concern for a repeat offender. Mr. Fife clarified this amendment would prohibit an arrest related to a violation. Councilmember Smede believes this is about treating everyone with respect, courtesy, and, professionalism and making the City the best possible place it could be. She supports this amendment. Councilmember Francis does not believe jail time will solve the problem. He also believes the mediation element will help individuals overcome their differences. Roll call for the amended language as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Smede, Hally, Dingman, Freeman, Francis. Nay – Councilmember Radford. Motion carried. Councilmember Francis noted he attempted to answer all emails, which did not happen. He stated he is supportive of this ordinance. He referenced the recital that Council recognizes all persons have a sexual orientation and gender identity/expression. He believes the operative word is 'all'. He indicated many emails and comments received were related to the Constitution. He stated words in the 14th amendment reference the obligation of government to provide equal protection for all. He also referenced Section 5-11-1 which does not change constitutional rights established by the Federal or State government. The City does not have that power and is not trying to do that. Councilmember Francis concurs with the public meeting element. He reiterated there have been 24 days for dialogue and he believes dialogue is crucial in a democratic republic. Councilmember Freeman expressed his appreciation to Councilmember Hally's historical perspective. He strongly believes equal rights should be assured to everyone and discrimination is a denial of human rights. He reiterated this is granting the same rights to everyone. Councilmember Freeman also concurs the exchange of information with the public was very robust and may have been an opportunity during the COVID crisis. He believes the messages are evenly split and he realizes he will disappoint half of the people with his vote. He stated he too was disappointed in 2013 when the third leg did not pass. Councilmember Francis stated most of the wording in the proposed ordinance is from 2013. He concurs that harmony can and has existed under this ordinance. Councilmember Smede stated she too has attempted to answer all correspondence. She also believes this is evenly split. She expressed her frustration about the misinformation of the process. She stated she has been in this area for 50 years and is incredibly proud to be part of Idaho Falls. Councilmember Radford stated he is supportive of this ordinance although he expressed his concern regarding the lack of a public hearing as he will always advocate to hear from citizens. He concurs with the robust conversation, however, he questioned the danger of listening to people by the Council as a whole. He believes those individuals who care deeply about this will not be given opportunity to have their voices heard. He also believes the Council should take any chance to hear from individuals. Councilmember Radford realizes, and concurs, it would be out of the Council's normal role to have a hearing on every ordinance. He is also sympathetic for a long meeting. He is hopeful to move forward and to hear from more individuals. Councilmember Radford does not believe the lack of a public hearing was due to the COVID crisis. He believes this amendment expands protection and he agrees that everyone should be served in restaurants and should not lose their housing or their jobs because of their identity. He is proud of the progress. However, he did hear from many individuals regarding difficult situations, particularly referencing the restrooms. Councilmember Radford believes the previous two (2) legs did not cause anyone to lose their religious freedom. He quoted Jesus Christ who said 'Love one another as I have loved you'. He stated he will support this ordinance. It was then moved by Councilmember Hally, seconded by Councilmember Dingman, to approve the Ordinance adding public accommodations and making other changes to the City's nondiscrimination Chapter under a suspension of the rules requiring three complete and separate readings and request that it be read by title and published by summary. Roll call as follows: Aye – Councilmembers Francis, Freeman, Radford, Dingman, Hally, Smede. Nay – none. Motion carried.

At the request of Mayor Casper, the City Clerk read the ordinance by title only:

CITY CLERK

ORDINANCE NO. 3307

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF IDAHO FALLS, A MUNICIPAL CORPORATION OF THE STATE OF IDAHO; PROVIDING FOR THE AMENDMENT OF IDAHO FALLS CITY CODE TITLE 5, CHAPTER 11; TO PROHIBIT DISCRIMINATORY ACTS IN PLACES OF PUBLIC RESORT, ACCOMMODATION, ASSEMBLAGE, OR AMUSEMENT BASED UPON SEXUAL ORIENTATION AND/OR GENDER EXPRESSION/IDENTITY, AS DEFINED; AND PROVIDING SEVERABILITY, CODIFICATION, PUBLICATION BY SUMMARY, AND ESTABLISHING EFFECTIVE DATE.

Mayor Casper expressed her appreciation to the Councilmembers and staff.

Announcements:

Mayor Casper reiterated salons, restaurants, and, gyms/fitness centers will open on May 16.

Adjournment:

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 9:06 p.m.

s/ Kathy Hampton

s/ Rebecca L. Noah Casper

MAYOR